



## Argumentation and health

/

Rubinelli, Sara

Snoeck Henkemans, Arnolda Francisca

John Benjamins Publishing Company,  
2014

Electronic books

Monografía

This chapter is concerned with the reasons why sometimes good arguments in health communication leaflets fail to convince the targeted audience. As an illustrative example it uses the age-dependent eligibility of women in the Netherlands to receive routine breast cancer screening examinations: according to Dutch regulations women under 50 are ineligible for them. The present qualitative study rests on and complements three experimental studies on the persuasiveness of mammography information leaflets; it uses interviews to elucidate reasons why the arguments in the health communication leaflet

<https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud:28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMjczMTA1MTY>

**Título:** Argumentation and health edited by Sara Rubinelli, A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans

**Editorial:** Amsterdam John Benjamins Publishing Company 2014

**Descripción física:** 1 online resource (vi, 147 pages)

**Mención de serie:** Benjamins Current Topics Volume 64

**Bibliografía:** Includes bibliographical references and index

**Contenido:** Argumentation and Health; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of contents; Argumentation in the healthcare domain; Argumentation and informed consent in the doctor-patient relationship; Introduction; Reibl v. Hughes; The law and bioethics of informed consent; The asymmetry of the doctor-patient relationship; Preserving the balance of SDM in the informed consent interaction; The circularity of 'competent to consent'; References; Institutional constraints on strategic maneuvering in shared medical decision-making; 1. Shared decision making 2. Comparison of the ideal of shared decision making with the concept of critical discussion3. Strategic maneuvering in the physician's presentation of treatments; 3.1 Presenting the recommendation in such a way that the patient seems to participate in the decision making process about the best treatment; 3.2 Presenting the available treatment options in such a way that the treatment preferred by the doctor seems to be the most reasonable option; 3.3 Presenting the recommendation in such a way that it looks as if the decision is completely up to the patient; 4. Conclusion; References Reasonableness of a doctor's argument by authority1. Introduction; 2. Argumentation in medical consultation; 3. Authority argumentation; 4. Soundness of a doctor's argument by authority; General soundness conditions; Specific soundness conditions; 5. Conclusion; References; Evaluating

argumentative moves in medical consultations; 1. The social context of the medical consultation in Italy; 1.1 The Rigotti and Rocci model for the description of the communication context; 1.2 The institutionalized dimension of the medical consultation in Italy 2. Evaluating argumentation in medical consultations Extract #1; Extract #2; Extract #3; 3. Concluding remarks; References; Teaching argumentation theory to doctors; 1. Introduction; 2. The 2012 medical consultation; 2.1 Patient-centeredness as a philosophy; 2.2 Shared decision-making as a model; 2.3 Informed consent as a process; 3. What does not work, what works, what is needed; 4. Conclusion; References; Direct-to-consumer advertisements for prescription drugs as an argumentative activity type; 1. Introduction; 2. Intrinsic and extrinsic constraints on argumentative discourse 3. Direct-to-consumer prescription drug advertisements 4. DTCA as an argumentative activity type; 5. Example: Nexium advertisement; 6. Conclusion; References; The strategic function of variants of pragmatic argumentation in health brochures; 1. Introduction; 2. A pragma-dialectical approach to pragmatic argumentation; 3. Dialectical options in the argumentation stage; 4. Choosing pragmatic argumentation to address doubt towards the standpoint; 4.1 Dialectical relevance of choosing pragmatic argumentation; 4.2 Rhetorical advantage of choosing pragmatic argumentation

**Copyright/Depósito Legal:** 889303347 1055364242 1066648059 1081212111 1228619630

**ISBN:** 9789027269843 electronic bk.) 902726984X electronic bk.) 1306942691 electronic bk.) 9781306942690 electronic bk.) 9027242526 9789027242525 9789027242525

**Materia:** Communication in medicine Medical personnel Communication in human services Interprofessional relations Debates and debating HEALTH & FITNESS- Holism HEALTH & FITNESS- Reference MEDICAL- Alternative Medicine MEDICAL- Atlases MEDICAL- Essays MEDICAL- Family & General Practice MEDICAL- Holistic Medicine MEDICAL- Osteopathy Communication in human services Communication in medicine Debates and debating Interprofessional relations Medical personnel

**Autores:** Rubinelli, Sara Snoeck Henkemans, Arnolda Francisca

**Enlace a formato físico adicional:** Print version Rubinelli, Sara. Argumentation and Health. John Benjamins Publishing Company 2014 9789027242525

**Punto acceso adicional serie-Título:** Benjamins current topics Volume 64

---

## Baratz Innovación Documental

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- [informa@baratz.es](mailto:informa@baratz.es)