



Negative Archaeology. The Archaeological Borders of the Present [

Ediciones Complutense,
2015-06-29

[info:eu-repo/semantics/article](https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud:28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMjkwMzY0NTE)

[info:eu-repo/semantics/publishedVersion](https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud:28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMjkwMzY0NTE)

Artículo revisado por pares

Analítica

Nowadays, archaeology is trying to redefine its relation with objects. This change is taking place at the same time as the West is breaking once and for all with the generation who did the rural exodus in the mid of the twentieth century. The present paper proposes a revision of the conditions that allow us to both define this rupture and at the same time determine our affinity with materiality. This is done through a reconsideration of the relation between the past and the present and the dynamics marking this difference. We are situated in a moment when the experience of time is shifting and thus so is the integrity of archaeological objects. Under the name of Negative Archaeology, the border between past and present is explored. This border determines the creation of the past in a present which intends to homogenise changes. Archaeology is a unique discipline which could prevent this process, or at least bear witness to the dynamics to which objects seem to be subjected. Obscureness is introduced as a concept in an attempt to name the aforementioned problem

Nowadays, archaeology is trying to redefine its relation with objects. This change is taking place at the same time as the West is breaking once and for all with the generation who did the rural exodus in the mid of the twentieth century. The present paper proposes a revision of the conditions that allow us to both define this rupture and at the same time determine our affinity with materiality. This is done through a reconsideration of the relation between the past and the present and the dynamics marking this difference. We are situated in a moment when the experience of time is shifting and thus so is the integrity of archaeological objects. Under the name of Negative Archaeology, the border between past and present is explored. This border determines the creation of the past in a present which intends to homogenise changes. Archaeology is a unique discipline which could prevent this process, or at least bear witness to the dynamics to which objects seem to be subjected. Obscureness is introduced as a concept in an attempt to name the aforementioned problem

<https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud:28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMjkwMzY0NTE>

Título: Negative Archaeology. The Archaeological Borders of the Present electronic resource]

Editorial: Ediciones Complutense 2015-06-29

Tipo Audiovisual: materiality; negative; archaeological theory; mediation; experience; obscureness materialidad; negativo; teoría arqueológica; mediación; experiencia; obscurencia

Variantes del título: Arqueología Negativa. Las fronteras arqueológicas del presente

Documento fuente: Complutum; Vol. 26 Núm. 1 (2015); 49-69

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

Lengua: Spanish

Enlace a fuente de información: Complutum; Vol. 26 Núm. 1 (2015); 49-69 1988-2327 1131-6993

Otras relaciones: <https://revistas.ucm.es/index.php/CMPL/article/view/49340/45965> / *ref*/Agamben, G. (2005): Profanaciones. Adriana Hidalgo Editora, Buenos Aires / *ref*/Agamben, G. (2010): Infancia e historia. Adriana Hidalgo Editora, Buenos Aires / *ref*/Alberti, B.; Bray, T. (2009): Anitimating Archaeology: of Subjects, Objects and Alternative Ontologies. Introduction. Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 19(3): 337-43 / *ref*/Alberti, B.; Fowles, S.; Holbraad, M.; Marshall, Y.; Witmore, C. (2014): Worlds Otherwise. Archaeology, Anthropology, and Ontological Difference", Current Anthropology, vol. 52(6): 896-912 / *ref*/Alemán, J. (2014): En la frontera. Sujeto y capitalismo. El malestar en el presente neoliberal. Gedisa, Barcelona / *ref*/Anders, G. (2001): Nosotros, los hijos de Eichmann. Carta abierta a Klaus Eichmann. Paidós, Barcelona / *ref*/Arendt, H. (1995): De la historia a la acción. Paidós, Barcelona / *ref*/Augé, M. (2013): Los no lugares: espacios del anonimato. Una antropología de la sobremodernidad. Gedisa, Barcelona / *ref*/Barreiro, D. (2012): Arqueología aplicada y patrimonio: memoria y utopía. Complutum, 23(2): 33-50 / *ref*/Barrett, J. C. (1999): Chronologies of Landscape. The Archaeology and Anthropology of Landscape. Shaping your landscape. (Ucko, P. y Layton, R. eds.). Routledge, Londres: 21-30 / *ref*/Baudrillard, J. (1969): El sistema de los objetos. Ed. Siglo XXI, Madrid / *ref*/Benjamin, W. (1991): El narrador. Taurus, Barcelona / *ref*/Benjamin, W. (2009): Ética y Política. Ed. Las Cuarenta, Buenos Aires / *ref*/Berardi, F. (2014): Después del futuro. Desde el futurismo al cyberpunk. El agotamiento de la modernidad. Enclave de Libros, Madrid / *ref*/Bergson, H. (2012): Memoria y vida. Textos escogidos por Gilles Deleuze. Alianza Editorial, Madrid / *ref*/Brown, W. (2014): La política fuera de la historia. Ed. Enclave de Libros, Madrid / *ref*/Bryant, L. R. (2011): The Democracy of Objects. Open Humanities Press, Ann Arbor / *ref*/Buchli, V. ; Lucas, G. (2001): Archaeologies of the contemporary past. Routledge, London / *ref*/Connerton, P. (2009): How Modernity Forgets. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge / *ref*/D'Eramo, M. (2014): "Unescocidio", New Left Review, 88: 52-59 / *ref*/Díaz-Andreu, M.; Champion, T. (eds.) (1996): Nationalism and Archaeology in Europe. WestviewPress / *ref*/Eagleton, T. (1998): Walter Benjamín o hacia una crítica revolucionaria. Cátedra, Madrid / *ref*/Edgeworth, M. (2012a): Fields of Artefacts: Archaeologies of Contemporary Scientific Discovery. (Fortenberry, B. ; McAttackney, L. eds.): 7-12 / *ref*/Edgeworth, M. (2012b): Follow the Cut, Follow the Rhythm, Follow the Material. Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 45(1): 76-92 / *ref*/Edgeworth, M. (2013): The clearing: archaeology's way of opening the world. Reclaiming Archaeology. Beyond the tropes of Modernity. (González-Ruibal, A.ed.).Routledge, Londres: 33-43 / *ref*/Ferrándiz, F. (2014): El pasadobajotierra. Exhumaciones contemporáneas de la Guerra Civil. Anthropos, Madrid / *ref*/Fortenberry, B. ; McAttackney, L. (2012): Modern Materials. The proceedings of CHAT Oxford, 2009. BAR International Series, Oxford / *ref*/Foucault, M. (1988): Nietzsche, la genealogía y la historia. Pre-Textos, Valencia / *ref*/Foucault, M. (1999): Estrategias de poder. Paidós, Barcelona / *ref*/Freud, S. (2001): Moisés y la religión monoteísta. Alianza Editorial, Madrid / *ref*/Fukuyama, F. (2006): The End of History and the Last Man. Free Press / *ref*/González-Ruibal, A. (2003): Etnoarqueología de la emigración: El fin del mundo preindustrial en Terra de Montes (Galicia). Pontevedra: Diputación de Pontevedra, Servicio de Publicaciones / *ref*/González-Ruibal, A. (2005): "The need for a decaying past: An archaeology of oblivion in contemporary Galicia (NW Spain)", Home Cultures, 2: 129-152 / *ref*/González-Ruibal, A. (2008): "Time to Destroy. An Archaeology of Supermodernity", Current Anthropology, vol. 49(2): 247-279 / *ref*/González-Ruibal, A. (2014): Returning to where we have never been: excavating the ruins of modernity. (Olsen, B. y Pétursdóttir, . eds.): Routledge, London: 367-388 / *ref*/González-Ruibal, A.; Hernando, A.; Politis, G. (2011): Ontology of the self and material culture: Arrow- making among the Awá hunter-gatherers (Brazil). Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, vol. 30: 1-16 / *ref*/Gordillo, G. (2014): Rubble: The Afterlife of Destruction. Duke University Press / *ref*/Haber, A. (2013): Evestigation, nomethodology and deictics: movements in un-disciplining archaeology. (González-Ruibal, A. ed.). Routledge, Londres: 79-88 / *ref*/Hamilakis, Y. (2007): The Nation and Its Ruins. Antiquity, Archaeology, and National Imagination in Greece. Oxford University Press, Oxford / *ref*/Hamilakis, Y. (2011): Archaeological ethnography: a multitemporal

meeting ground for archaeology and anthropology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 40: 399-414 /^{ref}
/Hamilakis, Y. (2012): "From Ontology to Ontogeny: A New, Undisciplined Discipline", *Current Swedish Archaeology*, vol. 20: 47-55 /^{ref}/Hamilakis, Y. (2013): *Archaeology and the Senses: Human Experience, Memory, and Affect*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge /^{ref}/Harrison, R. ; Schofield, J. (2010): *After Modernity. Archaeological Approaches to the Contemporary Past*. Oxford University Press, Oxford /^{ref}/Harvey, D. (2012): *El enigma del capital y las crisis del capitalismo*. Akal, Madrid /^{ref}/Hegel, G. W. (2013): *Introducción general y especial a las "Lecciones sobre la filosofía de la historia universal"*. Alianza Editorial, Madrid /^{ref}/Heinrich, M. (2008): *Crítica de la economía política. Una introducción a El Capital de Marx*. Escolar y Mayo, Madrid /^{ref}/Hernando, A. (2012): *La fantasía de la individualidad. Sobre la construcción sociohistórica del sujeto moderno*. Katz Editores, Buenos Aires /^{ref}/Hölscher, L. (2014): *El descubrimiento del futuro*. S. XXI, Madrid /^{ref}/Koselleck, R. (2001): *Los estratos del tiempo: estudios sobre la historia*. Paidós, Barcelona

Baratz Innovación Documental

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es