

"Estado/sociedad": una anacronía regresiva [

2015

text (article)

Analítica

This paper discusses the theoretical relevance and political expediency of distinguishing "State" and "society" as separated entities. I do not think that, in our language, it is easy to overcome this dichotomy: as it is not easy, for example, to surmount the distinction between body and soul. But at least the attempt will allow us to acknowledge what we are implicitly saying when using it. Therefore, I will sustain that the use of the Statesociety dichotomy has led to prosopopoeias that do not help to the understanding of social complexity: "the State did...", "the State violates...", "the State is present", etc. Moreover, this dichotomy works as one of the foundations of capitalist hegemony, inasmuch as: a) it portrays the State as an entity ("the Power", liberals dixit) that stands apart from and dominates a civil society that is seen as a reservoir of associations of the most varied type; b) it conceals the fact that the State is in fact a relationship that is constantly played out in all spheres of human life. Both things tend to dilute or distract our attention from the main form of domination (capitalism), portraying it as the simple sum of producers who create or exchange goods, together with other associations that perform various socially useful tasks; (c) this confusion allows the dominant sectors to appear as champions of civil society and liberty vis-a-vis state domination. In order to uphold this interpretation, the work is organized in the following way. In the fi rst section, I will make a brief reference to the literature that explains the modern State as a creation that emerges with sovereign monarchies in Europe and which exists until today. In turn, this will allow me to: 1) claim the need to establish the pertinent discontinuities, which derive from the transformations in sovereign ownership; and 2) show the consequent anachronism entailed in maintaining the opposition of State and society, which has justiaturalist origins, once sovereignty was

This paper discusses the theoretical relevance and political expediency of distinguishing "State" and "society" as separated entities. I do not think that, in our language, it is easy to overcome this dichotomy: as it is not easy, for example, to surmount the distinction between body and soul. But at least the attempt will allow us to acknowledge what we are implicitly saying when using it. Therefore, I will sustain that the use of the Statesociety dichotomy has led to prosopopoeias that do not help to the understanding of social complexity: "the State did...", "the State violates...", "the State is present", etc. Moreover, this dichotomy works as one of the foundations of capitalist hegemony, inasmuch as: a) it portrays the State as an entity ("the Power", liberals dixit) that stands apart from and dominates a civil society that is seen as a reservoir of associations of the most varied type; b) it conceals the fact that the State is in fact a relationship that is constantly played out in all spheres of human life. Both things tend to dilute or distract our attention from the main form of domination (capitalism), portraying it as the simple sum of producers who create or exchange goods, together with other associations that perform various socially useful tasks; (c) this confusion allows the dominant sectors to appear as champions of civil society and liberty vis-a-vis state domination. In order to uphold this interpretation, the work is organized in the following way. In the fi rst section, I will make a brief reference to the literature that explains the modern State as a creation that emerges with sovereign monarchies in Europe and which exists until today. In turn, this will allow me to: 1) claim the need to establish the pertinent discontinuities, which

derive from the transformations in sovereign ownership; and 2) show the consequent anachronism entailed in maintaining the opposition of State and society, which has justified origins, once sovereignty was

https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud: 28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE0NzI0MDU

Título: "Estado/sociedad": una anacronía regresiva electronic resource]

Editorial: 2015

Tipo Audiovisual: Estado Estado sociedad Liberalismo Hegemonía State State society Liberalism Hegemony

Documento fuente: Estudios Sociales del Estado, ISSN 2422-7803, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2015, pags. 27-57

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

Condiciones de uso y reproducción: LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

Lengua: Spanish

Enlace a fuente de información: Estudios Sociales del Estado, ISSN 2422-7803, Vol. 1, Nº. 1, 2015, pags. 27-57

Baratz Innovación Documental

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es