

"Não Converso com Demente": Intersecções entre Direito e Saúde Mental [

2016

text (article)

Analítica

Abstract This article presents a Foucauldian Discourse Analysis of enunciations regarding one of the judicial processes composing a Master dissertation on Psychology from Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (MG). The genesis of the research was the fact that a user from a Center of Psychosocial Attention (CAPS) reported his guardian for negligence and bad-treatment to a Promoter of Justice who, later on, refused to receive him, asserting: "I don't talk to lunatics". The research, of documentary nature, whose inclusion criterion was being a filed investigation record dealing with a diagnosis of mental disorder, was held with three judicial processes from users of the CAPS above mentioned. Subject positions that are assigned by the legal discourse to people with mental disorders were discussed, as well as the relationship between the diagnosis of mental disorder and another enunciations, and the relation among guardianship, non-imputability and citizenship. It is possible to affirm that legal discourse designates derogatory subject positions to people with mental disorder, supported by medical knowledge/power to enunciate truths about them. Citizenship is denied through processes of interdiction and guardianship. There is a gap between the Civil Code and the Civil Process Code, and the proposals of the Psychiatry Reform, especially regarding the rights of people with mental disorder

Abstract This article presents a Foucauldian Discourse Analysis of enunciations regarding one of the judicial processes composing a Master dissertation on Psychology from Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (MG). The genesis of the research was the fact that a user from a Center of Psychosocial Attention (CAPS) reported his guardian for negligence and bad-treatment to a Promoter of Justice who, later on, refused to receive him, asserting: "I don't talk to lunatics". The research, of documentary nature, whose inclusion criterion was being a filed investigation record dealing with a diagnosis of mental disorder, was held with three judicial processes from users of the CAPS above mentioned. Subject positions that are assigned by the legal discourse to people with mental disorders were discussed, as well as the relationship between the diagnosis of mental disorder and another enunciations, and the relation among guardianship, non-imputability and citizenship. It is possible to affirm that legal discourse designates derogatory subject positions to people with mental disorder, supported by medical knowledge/power to enunciate truths about them. Citizenship is denied through processes of interdiction and guardianship. There is a gap between the Civil Code and the Civil Process Code, and the proposals of the Psychiatry Reform, especially regarding the rights of people with mental disorder

Abstract This article presents a Foucauldian Discourse Analysis of enunciations regarding one of the judicial processes composing a Master dissertation on Psychology from Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (MG). The genesis of the research was the fact that a user from a Center of Psychosocial Attention (CAPS) reported his guardian for negligence and bad-treatment to a Promoter of Justice who, later on, refused to receive him, asserting: "I don't talk to lunatics". The research, of documentary nature, whose inclusion criterion was being a filed investigation record dealing with a diagnosis of mental disorder, was held with three judicial processes

from users of the CAPS above mentioned. Subject positions that are assigned by the legal discourse to people with mental disorders were discussed, as well as the relationship between the diagnosis of mental disorder and another enunciations, and the relation among guardianship, non-imputability and citizenship. It is possible to affirm that legal discourse designates derogatory subject positions to people with mental disorder, supported by medical knowledge/power to enunciate truths about them. Citizenship is denied through processes of interdiction and guardianship. There is a gap between the Civil Code and the Civil Process Code, and the proposals of the Psychiatry Reform, especially regarding the rights of people with mental disorder

https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud: 28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzE3NzkyMjQloudiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0aW9

Título: "Não Converso com Demente": Intersecções entre Direito e Saúde Mental electronic resource]

Editorial: 2016

Tipo Audiovisual: Transtorno Mental Atenção Psicossocial Justiça Análise do Discurso Mental Disorder Psychosocial Care Justice Discourse Analysis Trastorno Mental Atención Psicosocial Justicia Análisis del Discurso

Documento fuente: Psicologia: Ciência e Profissão, ISSN 1982-3703, Vol. 36, Nº. 3, 2016, pags. 584-596

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

Condiciones de uso y reproducción: LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

Lengua: Portuguese

Enlace a fuente de información: Psicologia: Ciência e Profissão, ISSN 1982-3703, Vol. 36, Nº. 3, 2016, pags. 584-596

Baratz Innovación Documental

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es