

Algunas vicisitudes del proceso monitorio en Colombia: una visión desde la academia [

2016

text (article)

Analítica

Introduction: This article is the result of research carried out by the research incubator of Procedural Law of the Bucaramanga Campus of the Universidad Cooperativa de Colom- bia (ucc). Methodology: Analysis of certain aspects of the new small claims procedure for declaratory judgment, which the General Procedure Code (cgp by its Spanish initials) successfully introduced into the legal system. These aspects are related to type, good faith of the creditor, exhaustion of procedural requirements, and propriety of interim measures. The analysis was performed pursuant to Articles 419 to 421 and 590 of the cgp, Law 640 of 2001, Decision C-726 of 2014 of the Constitutional Court of Colombia, and Calamandrei's theory on small claims procedure. Results: The legislature has shown the same procedural treatment to both pure and documentary small claims procedure, contrary to Calaman- drei's theory; the admission of pure small claims procedure implies that the judge supports the requirement of good faith payment to the creditor. In accordance with the norms on pre-trial conciliation, to initiate a small claims procedure classified as special declaratory, the plaintiff must prove compliance with the procedural requirements, that is, provide proof of having exhausted the attempt at conciliation. However, the plaintiff may substitute this with the request for and, logically, with the propriety of an interim measure, for which security must be furnished. Conclusions: In small claims procedure, the plaintiff must pro- vide proof of having exhausted procedural requirements. Finding an innominate measure in the context of small claims procedure with a view to guaranteeing the effectiveness of the right to credence will be

Introduction: This article is the result of research carried out by the research incubator of Procedural Law of the Bucaramanga Campus of the Universidad Cooperativa de Colom- bia (ucc). Methodology: Analysis of certain aspects of the new small claims procedure for declaratory judgment, which the General Procedure Code (cgp by its Spanish initials) successfully introduced into the legal system. These aspects are related to type, good faith of the creditor, exhaustion of procedural requirements, and propriety of interim measures. The analysis was performed pursuant to Articles 419 to 421 and 590 of the cgp, Law 640 of 2001, Decision C-726 of 2014 of the Constitutional Court of Colombia, and Calamandrei's theory on small claims procedure. Results: The legislature has shown the same procedural treatment to both pure and documentary small claims procedure, contrary to Calaman-drei's theory; the admission of pure small claims procedure implies that the judge supports the requirement of good faith payment to the creditor. In accordance with the norms on pre-trial conciliation, to initiate a small claims procedure classified as special declaratory, the plaintiff must prove compliance with the procedural requirements, that is, provide proof of having exhausted the attempt at conciliation. However, the plaintiff may substitute this with the request for and, logically, with the propriety of an interim measure, for which security must be furnished. Conclusions: In small claims procedure, the plaintiff must prove vide proof of having exhausted procedural requirements. Finding an innominate measure in the

context of small claims procedure with a view to guaranteeing the effectiveness of the right to credence will be a difficult task

Introduction: This article is the result of research carried out by the research incubator of Procedural Law of the Bucaramanga Campus of the Universidad Cooperativa de Colom- bia (ucc). Methodology: Analysis of certain aspects of the new small claims procedure for declaratory judgment, which the General Procedure Code (cgp by its Spanish initials) successfully introduced into the legal system. These aspects are related to type, good faith of the creditor, exhaustion of procedural requirements, and propriety of interim measures. The analysis was performed pursuant to Articles 419 to 421 and 590 of the cgp, Law 640 of 2001, Decision C-726 of 2014 of the Constitutional Court of Colombia, and Calamandrei's theory on small claims procedure. Results: The legislature has shown the same procedural treatment to both pure and documentary small claims procedure, contrary to Calaman- drei's theory; the admission of pure small claims procedure implies that the judge supports the requirement of good faith payment to the creditor. In accordance with the norms on pre-trial conciliation, to initiate a small claims procedure classified as special declaratory, the plaintiff must prove compliance with the procedural requirements, that is, provide proof of having exhausted the attempt at conciliation. However, the plaintiff may substitute this with the request for and, logically, with the propriety of an interim measure, for which security must be furnished. Conclusions: In small claims procedure, the plaintiff must pro- vide proof of having exhausted procedural requirements. Finding an innominate measure in the context of small claims procedure with a view to guaranteeing the effectiveness of the right to credence will be a difficult task

https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud: 28443/Opac Discovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzExODc2MTE

Título: Algunas vicisitudes del proceso monitorio en Colombia: una visión desde la academia electronic resource]

Editorial: 2016

Tipo Audiovisual: buena fe celeridad medida cautelar innominada proceso declarativo monitorio good faith promptness innominate interim measure small claims procedure for declaratory judgment boa-fé celeridade medida cautelar inominada processo declarativo monitório

Documento fuente: DIXI, ISSN 0124-7255, N°. 24, 2016

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

Condiciones de uso y reproducción: LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

Lengua: Spanish

Enlace a fuente de información: DIXI, ISSN 0124-7255, N°. 24, 2016

- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es