



## Bordwell, Bazin y la ilusión cinematográfica. El sueño de Zavattini como la pesadilla de Bordwell [

2016

text (article)

Analítica

Undoubtedly, David Bordwell is by now the most important English-speaking film theoretician and his cognitive theory of narrative film has important advantages that allowed it to oust rival film theories such as the semiotic, the psychological, and the Marxist ones. Due to its cognitivist foundations, Bordwell's theory has a strong empirical tendency and it emphasizes the continuity between the perceptual and cognitive operations in real life and in narrative cinema. Yet, just the cognitivist character of the theory has the negative effect of letting out of the picture properly aesthetic phenomena and categories. It is possible to say that Bordwell's theory is a naturalization of film theory by virtue of which the aesthetic dimension of cinema practically disappears from the theoretical consideration. Particularly, the phenomenon of the cinematographic technological illusion as aesthetical experience results completely eliminated from the theory. In order to recover such phenomenon, which is basic for the cinematographic experience, in this text we resort to Bazin's film theory and contrast it with Bordwell's theory and we show that Bordwell refers to the well-known practice of editing for completely letting out of his theoretical frame the aesthetical phenomenon of illusion, whereas, on the contrary, Bazin's criticism of editing goes hand in hand with a keen awareness of the phenomenon of the specifically cinematographic illusion. Particularly, we emphasize Bazin's thesis that letting out of consideration the phenomenon of the cinematographic illusion leads to reducing the filmic experience to the merely literary one

Undoubtedly, David Bordwell is by now the most important English-speaking film theoretician and his cognitive theory of narrative film has important advantages that allowed it to oust rival film theories such as the semiotic, the psychological, and the Marxist ones. Due to its cognitivist foundations, Bordwell's theory has a strong empirical tendency and it emphasizes the continuity between the perceptual and cognitive operations in real life and in narrative cinema. Yet, just the cognitivist character of the theory has the negative effect of letting out of the picture properly aesthetic phenomena and categories. It is possible to say that Bordwell's theory is a naturalization of film theory by virtue of which the aesthetic dimension of cinema practically disappears from the theoretical consideration. Particularly, the phenomenon of the cinematographic technological illusion as aesthetical experience results completely eliminated from the theory. In order to recover such phenomenon, which is basic for the cinematographic experience, in this text we resort to Bazin's film theory and contrast it with Bordwell's theory and we show that Bordwell refers to the well-known practice of editing for completely letting out of his theoretical frame the aesthetical phenomenon of illusion, whereas, on the contrary, Bazin's criticism of editing goes hand in hand with a keen awareness of the phenomenon of the specifically cinematographic illusion. Particularly, we emphasize Bazin's thesis that letting out of consideration

the phenomenon of the cinematographic illusion leads to reducing the filmic experience to the merely literary one

<https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud:28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyNDYxMjc>

---

**Título:** Bordwell, Bazin y la ilusión cinematográfica. El sueño de Zavattini como la pesadilla de Bordwell [electronic resource]

**Editorial:** 2016

**Tipo Audiovisual:** mimesis record illusion edition causality imagination mimesis registro ilusión edición causalidad imaginación

**Documento fuente:** Nuevo Itinerario, ISSN 1850-3578, N°. 11, 2016

**Nota general:** application/pdf

**Restricciones de acceso:** Open access content. Open access content star

**Condiciones de uso y reproducción:** LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI> | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI>

**Lengua:** Spanish

**Enlace a fuente de información:** Nuevo Itinerario, ISSN 1850-3578, N°. 11, 2016

---

## **Baratz Innovación Documental**

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- [informa@baratz.es](mailto:informa@baratz.es)