

# Colonialidade e feminicídio: superação do "ego conquiro" como desafio ao Direito [

2020

text (article)

Analítica

The present study aimed to identify the extent to which coloniality, concerning ethics/non-ethics of war, contributes to the failure to reduce feminicide in the country. The profile of the predominant type of femicide in Brazil, such as domestic or intimate femicide, was also analyzed. It was found that there was a lack of articulation between the death registration system and the characterization of this type of crime in order to avoid the invisibility and underreporting of cases of women's murder that could be confused with cases of deaths not caused by gender. It was noted that the strategies of domination/war and violence reflect up to nowadays through coloniality/coloniality of the Law, and maintain inequalities of power between the genders and even when they count on a protective system formed by Law 11.340/2006 and Law 13.104/2015, there was no reduction in female genocide. The methodological proposal is that of the Decolonial Studies, which comprehend critically the object of study, as well as use the technique of bibliographic and documentary research

The present study aimed to identify the extent to which coloniality, concerning ethics/non-ethics of war, contributes to the failure to reduce feminicide in the country. The profile of the predominant type of femicide in Brazil, such as domestic or intimate femicide, was also analyzed. It was found that there was a lack of articulation between the death registration system and the characterization of this type of crime in order to avoid the invisibility and underreporting of cases of women's murder that could be confused with cases of deaths not caused by gender. It was noted that the strategies of domination/war and violence reflect up to nowadays through coloniality/coloniality of the Law, and maintain inequalities of power between the genders and even when they count on a protective system formed by Law 11.340/2006 and Law 13.104/2015, there was no reduction in female genocide. The methodological proposal is that of the Decolonial Studies, which comprehend critically the object of study, as well as use the technique of bibliographic and documentary research

The present study aimed to identify the extent to which coloniality, concerning ethics/non-ethics of war, contributes to the failure to reduce feminicide in the country. The profile of the predominant type of femicide in Brazil, such as domestic or intimate femicide, was also analyzed. It was found that there was a lack of articulation between the death registration system and the characterization of this type of crime in order to avoid the invisibility and underreporting of cases of women's murder that could be confused with cases of deaths not caused by gender. It was noted that the strategies of domination/war and violence reflect up to nowadays through coloniality/coloniality of the Law, and maintain inequalities of power between the genders and even when they count on a protective system formed by Law 11.340/2006 and Law 13.104/2015, there was

no reduction in female genocide. The methodological proposal is that of the Decolonial Studies, which comprehend critically the object of study, as well as use the technique of bibliographic and documentary research

 $https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud: 28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODI0OTI_representation and the second secon$ 

Título: Colonialidade e feminicídio: superação do "ego conquiro" como desafio ao Direito electronic resource]

## Editorial: 2020

**Tipo Audiovisual:** coloniality coloniality of law ego conquiro ethics non-ethics of war feminicide colonialidad colonialidad del Derecho ego conquiro no ética ética de la guerra feminicidio colonialidade colonialidade do Direito ego conquiro ética não ética de guerra feminicídio

**Documento fuente:** Opinión Jurídica: Publicación de la Facultad de Derecho de la Universidad de Medellín, ISSN 1692-2530, Vol. 19, N°. 38, 2020 (Ejemplar dedicado a: January-june), pags. 201-226

#### Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

**Condiciones de uso y reproducción:** LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

## Lengua: Portuguese

**Enlace a fuente de información:** Opinión Jurídica: Publicación de la Facultad de Derecho de la Universidad de Medellín, ISSN 1692-2530, Vol. 19, N°. 38, 2020 (Ejemplar dedicado a: January-june), pags. 201-226

## **Baratz Innovación Documental**

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es