

Comentario a la Decisión de la Sala de Cuestiones Preliminares I de fecha 5 de febrero de 2021:: la transferencia soberana de la jurisdicción prescriptiva como fundamento de la legitimación del sistema punitivo internacional [

2022

text (article)

Analítica

It has been observed that the judges of the International Criminal Court, in application of the norms of the Treaty of Rome, perform an extensive interpretation of their jurisdiction. Among its resolutions, the Decision issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I of February 5 2021 is no exception to this tendency. In this Resolution, by which authorizes to the Prosecutor's Office to investigate those perpetrators for the commission of international crimes when occurred in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, they base its decision on an autonomous interpretation of the norms established in the Statute of the Court and the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, on the consideration of the fact that Palestine is a State Party for the purposes of the Treaty of Rome. Given the relevance of its grounds, this work analyzes the content of said Decision, as well as the legal positions maintained by the judges who were part of the Chamber, since the exercise of jurisdiction was an essential element of the established punitive system, and from a perspective regulations of international criminal jurisdiction, which can only be consistent with the general norms of international law

It has been observed that the judges of the International Criminal Court, in application of the norms of the Treaty of Rome, perform an extensive interpretation of their jurisdiction. Among its resolutions, the Decision issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I of February 5 2021 is no exception to this tendency. In this Resolution, by which authorizes to the Prosecutor's Office to investigate those perpetrators for the commission of international crimes when occurred in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, they base its decision on an autonomous interpretation of the norms established in the Statute of the Court and the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, on the consideration of the fact that Palestine is a State Party for the purposes of the Treaty of Rome. Given the relevance of its grounds, this work analyzes the content of said Decision, as well as the legal positions

maintained by the judges who were part of the Chamber, since the exercise of jurisdiction was an essential element of the established punitive system, and from a perspective regulations of international criminal jurisdiction, which can only be consistent with the general norms of international law

https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud: 28443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2W4vMzEyODMyNzEyODMyNTgDiscovery/public/c

Título: Comentario a la Decisión de la Sala de Cuestiones Preliminares I de fecha 5 de febrero de 2021:: la transferencia soberana de la jurisdicción prescriptiva como fundamento de la legitimación del sistema punitivo internacional electronic resource]

Editorial: 2022

Tipo Audiovisual: Estado soberanía jurisdicción Corte Penal Internacional Acuerdos de Oslo y territorio ocupado State sovereignty jurisdiction International Criminal Court Oslo Accords and occupied territory

Documento fuente: Revista electrónica de estudios internacionales (REEI), ISSN 1697-5197, Nº. 43, 2022

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

Condiciones de uso y reproducción: LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

Lengua: Spanish

Enlace a fuente de información: Revista electrónica de estudios internacionales (REEI), ISSN 1697-5197, Nº. 43, 2022

Baratz Innovación Documental

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es