

16 Hours photoperiod in holstein heifers in the subtropics: Effects in development and age to first estrus [

2015

text (article)

Analítica

Both growth and milk production in heifer calves are stimulated by a long photoperiod, though this has not been proven yet in subtropical areas. To evaluate the effect of 16 hr of light (L16) on calves suckling (LAC) and /or pre-pubertal stages (PP) in a subtropical area, 325 calves (36 " 0.4 kg) were randomized into two groups: L16 or natural photoperiod (LNAT). At seven months of age, 198 of these calves (195 " 2 kg) were randomly assigned to L16 or LNAT. The following were determined at the beginning and end of LAC and PP stages: Weight (PC, kg), height (AC, cm), thickness of back-fat (GG, cm) and of Longissimus dorsi (LD, cm); pelvic area (AP, cmp2(B), body condition (CC), age at first estrus, mammary gland depth (PGM, cm) and width (AGM, cm). The statistical analysis was made using ANOVA for a 2 X 2 factorial arrangement. At the end of LAC, GG was lower and LD greater in L16 animals, while, at the end of PP, L16 heifer calves had a greater PC (260 " 3 vs 250 " 3), AP (166 " 1.2 vs 153 " 1.2), LD (3.18 " 0.04 vs 2.90 " 0.04), and AGM (2.41 " 0.02 vs 2.21 " 0.02), but a lower GG (0.114 " 0.003 vs 0.139 " 0.003) as compared to LNAT heifer calves. More L16 animals (67 %) presented estrus versus LNAT (38 %) and the age of the rst estrus was lower in L1 (278 " 2 vs 288 " 2 d). Consequently, exposure to L16 during LAC promotes lean growth while lean growth and a bigger pelvis and mammary gland are prompted during PP. Hence, it may be concluded that PP heifer calves exposed to L16 have a higher production potential and lower risk of dystocia than LNAT animals

Both growth and milk production in heifer calves are stimulated by a long photoperiod, though this has not been proven yet in subtropical areas. To evaluate the effect of 16 hr of light (L16) on calves suckling (LAC) and /or pre-pubertal stages (PP) in a subtropical area, 325 calves (36 " 0.4 kg) were randomized into two groups: L16 or natural photoperiod (LNAT). At seven months of age, 198 of these calves (195 " 2 kg) were randomly assigned to L16 or LNAT. The following were determined at the beginning and end of LAC and PP stages: Weight (PC, kg), height (AC, cm), thickness of back-fat (GG, cm) and of Longissimus dorsi (LD, cm); pelvic area (AP, cmp2(B), body condition (CC), age at first estrus, mammary gland depth (PGM, cm) and width (AGM, cm). The statistical analysis was made using ANOVA for a 2 X 2 factorial arrangement. At the end of LAC, GG was lower and LD greater in L16 animals, while, at the end of PP, L16 heifer calves had a greater PC (260 " 3 vs 250 " 3), AP (166 " 1.2 vs 153 " 1.2), LD (3.18 " 0.04 vs 2.90 " 0.04), and AGM (2.41 " 0.02 vs 2.21 " 0.02), but a lower GG (0.114 " 0.003 vs 0.139 " 0.003) as compared to LNAT heifer calves. More L16 animals (67 %) presented estrus versus LNAT (38 %) and the age of the rst estrus was lower in L1 (278 " 2 vs 288 " 2 d). Consequently, exposure to L16 during LAC promotes lean growth while lean growth and a bigger

pelvis and mammary gland are prompted during PP. Hence, it may be concluded that PP heifer calves exposed to L16 have a higher production potential and lower risk of dystocia than LNAT animals

https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud:38443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzI4OTYyNDkwrefined and the control of the contro

Título: 16 Hours photoperiod in holstein heifers in the subtropics: Effects in development and age to first estrus electronic resource]

Editorial: 2015

Tipo Audiovisual: Photoperiod development heifers calves estrus subtropics Fotoperiodo desarrollo vaquillas estro subtrópico

Documento fuente: Ecosistemas y Recursos Agropecuarios, ISSN 2007-901X, null 2, No. 4, 2015, pags. 53-67

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

Condiciones de uso y reproducción: LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

Lengua: English

Enlace a fuente de información: Ecosistemas y Recursos Agropecuarios, ISSN 2007-901X, null 2, N°. 4, 2015, pags. 53-67

Baratz Innovación Documental

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es