

## Análisis crítico de la geopolítica contemporánea [

2007

text (article)

Analítica

Geopolitics has been one of the integrating disciplines of different dimensions of geographic science that has been more useful for Politics when taking state related decisions regarding foreign and internal politics. In due context, it's development, as much as it's European -German and British- branches, and as much as North American and Asian, had a constant and systematic development until 1945, when United States and Great Britain, without scientific foundations or empiric evidence, officially stigmatized as " Nazi science" during the II World War. This ideological piece of nonsense -mainly instrumental- provoked that, from there on, Geopolitics was left aside from the scientific study. In the United States, it was sub placed by force in a geographic branch of Political Geography, leaving it empty, without its contents and original basis, path imitated in many other parts of the world.. However, at the beginning of the Cold War, and the development of globalization integration process, scientific elites coming from different social, political and economical disciplines realized that the arising phenomenon could not be explained at the margin of geopolitics. However, without daring to contradict the official judgment of the victorious of the II World War, they chose to create a arising geopolitics called "Critical"", which arises as a conflicting analysis of the fundamental principles of traditional geopolitics leaving clear that their demands are completely opposite to the others. This article is for leaving evidence of how substantive or feign are the differences and coincidences among the demands of both geopolitics

Geopolitics has been one of the integrating disciplines of different dimensions of geographic science that has been more useful for Politics when taking state related decisions regarding foreign and internal politics. In due context, it's development, as much as it's European -German and British- branches, and as much as North American and Asian, had a constant and systematic development until 1945, when United States and Great Britain, without scientific foundations or empiric evidence, officially stigmatized as " Nazi science" during the II World War. This ideological piece of nonsense -mainly instrumental- provoked that, from there on, Geopolitics was left aside from the scientific study. In the United States, it was sub placed by force in a geographic branch of Political Geography, leaving it empty, without its contents and original basis, path imitated in many other parts of the world.. However, at the beginning of the Cold War, and the development of globalization integration process, scientific elites coming from different social, political and economical disciplines realized that the arising phenomenon could not be explained at the margin of geopolitics. However, without daring to contradict the official judgment of the victorious of the II World War, they chose to create a arising geopolitics called "Critical"", which arises as a conflicting analysis of the fundamental principles of traditional geopolitics leaving clear that their demands are completely opposite to the others. This article is for leaving evidence of how substantive or feign are the differences and coincidences among the demands of both geopolitics

Título: Análisis crítico de la geopolítica contemporánea electronic resource]

Editorial: 2007

**Tipo Audiovisual:** Geopolítica Guerra Fría Globalización Soberanía Estado Territorio Gepolitics Cold war Globalization Sovereignty State Territory

Documento fuente: Revista Política y Estrategia, ISSN 0716-7415, Nº. 108, 2007, pags. 29-45

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

**Condiciones de uso y reproducción:** LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

Lengua: Spanish

Enlace a fuente de información: Revista Política y Estrategia, ISSN 0716-7415, Nº. 108, 2007, pags. 29-45

## **Baratz Innovación Documental**

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es