

## Análisis de la evidencia sobre el juicio y la toma de decisiones morales entre 2005 y 2020 [

2021

text (article)

Analítica

A systematic review of 80 studies on moral judgment in moral decision-making from the cognitive sciences, published between 2005 and 2020, in English and Spanish, is presented. The articles were evaluated according to the levels of evidence of the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), carrying out a methodological analysis and identifying the following theoretical trends: findings of the cognitive neurosciences, the variables of biological, psychological, and socio-cultural influence, the reason vs. intuition debate, the divergence between moral judgment and behavior, and the understanding of moral judgment as an evaluation process. It is concluded that the reviewed studies offer representativeness of evidence at explanatory levels; they also show a preference for analytical empirical research, assiduous independent-variable-handling in experimental designs, using moral dilemma instruments and electrophysiological recordings that offer wide inferential possibilities. However, greater theoretical and methodological consistency is necessary to avoid contradictions that make it difficult to strengthen the theoretical models

A systematic review of 80 studies on moral judgment in moral decision-making from the cognitive sciences, published between 2005 and 2020, in English and Spanish, is presented. The articles were evaluated according to the levels of evidence of the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), carrying out a methodological analysis and identifying the following theoretical trends: findings of the cognitive neurosciences, the variables of biological, psychological, and socio-cultural influence, the reason vs. intuition debate, the divergence between moral judgment and behavior, and the understanding of moral judgment as an evaluation process. It is concluded that the reviewed studies offer representativeness of evidence at explanatory levels; they also show a preference for analytical empirical research, assiduous independent-variable-handling in experimental designs, using moral dilemma instruments and electrophysiological recordings that offer wide inferential possibilities. However, greater theoretical and methodological consistency is necessary to avoid contradictions that make it difficult to strengthen the theoretical models

A systematic review of 80 studies on moral judgment in moral decision-making from the cognitive sciences, published between 2005 and 2020, in English and Spanish, is presented. The articles were evaluated according to the levels of evidence of the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), carrying out a methodological analysis and identifying the following theoretical trends: findings of the cognitive neurosciences, the variables of biological, psychological, and socio-cultural influence, the reason vs. intuition debate, the divergence between moral judgment and behavior, and the understanding of moral judgment as an evaluation process. It is concluded that the reviewed studies offer representativeness of evidence at explanatory levels; they also show a preference for analytical empirical research, assiduous independent-variable-handling

in experimental designs, using moral dilemma instruments and electrophysiological recordings that offer wide inferential possibilities. However, greater theoretical and methodological consistency is necessary to avoid contradictions that make it difficult to strengthen the theoretical models

https://rebiunoda.pro.baratznet.cloud:38443/OpacDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0ei5yZW4vMzQ5NjA2NTcDiscovery/public/catalog/detail/b2FpOmNlbGVicmF0aW9uOmVzLmJhcmF0aW9uOmVz

**Título:** Análisis de la evidencia sobre el juicio y la toma de decisiones morales entre 2005 y 2020 electronic resource]

Editorial: 2021

**Tipo Audiovisual:** moral judgment moral decision making evidence content analysis systematic review juicio moral toma de decisiones morales evidencia análisis de contenido revisión sistemática julgamento moral tomada de decisão moral evidências de validade análise de conteúdo revisão sistemática

Documento fuente: Avances en psicología latinoamericana, ISSN 2145-4515, Vol. 39, N°. 3, 2021, pag. 1

Nota general: application/pdf

Restricciones de acceso: Open access content. Open access content star

Condiciones de uso y reproducción: LICENCIA DE USO: Los documentos a texto completo incluidos en Dialnet son de acceso libre y propiedad de sus autores y/o editores. Por tanto, cualquier acto de reproducción, distribución, comunicación pública y/o transformación total o parcial requiere el consentimiento expreso y escrito de aquéllos. Cualquier enlace al texto completo de estos documentos deberá hacerse a través de la URL oficial de éstos en Dialnet. Más información: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS STATEMENT: Full text documents hosted by Dialnet are protected by copyright and/or related rights. This digital object is accessible without charge, but its use is subject to the licensing conditions set by its authors or editors. Unless expressly stated otherwise in the licensing conditions, you are free to linking, browsing, printing and making a copy for your own personal purposes. All other acts of reproduction and communication to the public are subject to the licensing conditions expressed by editors and authors and require consent from them. Any link to this document should be made using its official URL in Dialnet. More info: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/info/derechosOAI

Lengua: Spanish

**Enlace a fuente de información:** Avances en psicología latinoamericana, ISSN 2145-4515, Vol. 39, Nº. 3, 2021, pag. 1

## **Baratz Innovación Documental**

- Gran Vía, 59 28013 Madrid
- (+34) 91 456 03 60
- informa@baratz.es